About the author
Louise Erdrich was born in 1954 in Little Falls, Minnesota, and grew up among the Turtle Mountain Band of Chippewa. Both of her parents (a German-American father and a French-Chippewa mother) taught school for the Bureau of Indian Affairs. One of seven children, she was raised in a large extended family, surrounded by storytelling, which she firmly believes was a guiding force in her development as a writer. In the early 1970s, Erdrich began studies at Dartmouth University. She eventually formed a remarkable literary collaboration with Michael Dorris, a Dartmouth faculty member, in which they wrote books jointly. Married in 1981, they were separated at the time of his death in 1997. 

Love Medicine (1984) won the National Book Critics Circle Award, along with several other prizes, and helped to establish Erdrich as a major new voice in American writing. In addition to popular success, Erdrich’s work has received widespread scholarly attention.

Discussion questions
What does the past mean for the present? Is it ever possible to sever oneself from family history, family behaviors, family relationships? How does your own ancestry affect your life?

Toward the end of the novel, Cally Roy is recognized as “one who gets the names.” Why do names, and the act of naming, carry such weight in the story? Would you call Erdrich herself a “namer”?

Speaking of Minneapolis, Cally says:

Gakahbekong. That’s the name the old ones call the city, what it means from way back when it started as a trading village. Although driveways and houses, concrete parking garages and business stores cover the city’s scape, the same land is hunched underneath. There are times, like now, I get this sense of the temporary. It could all blow off . . . .

How does this passage correspond to other recurring viewpoints in the book—especially those about time and ownership?

Additional reading:
Caught up in the frenzied, post-Civil-War slaughter of an Ojibwa village “mistaken for hostile,” cavalryman Scranton Roy bayonets an old woman “who set upon him with no other weapon but a stone picked from the ground.” Moments later he notices a dog with a human baby bound to its back, and hoping to flee his own atrocity, sets out after them, eventually rescuing the child, and, amazingly, suckling it at his own breast. 

The Antelope Wife (1998), Louise Erdrich’s sixth novel, tracks the intersecting lineages of Scranton Roy and the Ojibwa baby’s mother, Blue Prairie Woman, all the way to present-day Minneapolis. Or—to look at it in reverse—Erdrich’s extended family of contemporary characters is steeped in messages from the deep past of their ancestry.

In The Antelope Wife, Erdrich “has returned to what she does best,” writes New York Times reviewer Michiko Kakutani, “using multiple viewpoints and strange, surreal tales within tales to conjure up a family’s legacy of love, duty and guilt, and to show us how that family’s fortunes have both shifted—and endured.” At the novel’s heart is Erdrich’s response to the oldest of human enigmas: Is there a grand design behind our experience, or simply random chance or chaos?

“We’re led into the modern story by Klaus Shawano, trader and waste-disposal worker, who recounts his desperate attraction to Sweetheart Calico, a woman he who recounts his desperate attraction to Shawano, trader and waste-disposal worker, the death of her twin sister, Deanna, forms its and his obsessive love will appear and disappear. “Do we have a choice?” Cally Roy wonders. “Family stories repeat themselves in patterns and waves generation to generation, across bloods and time,” she says. “Once the pattern is set we go on replicating it. Here on the handle the vines and leaves of infidelities. There, a suicidal tendency, a fatal wish. On this side drinking. On the other a repression of guilt that finally explodes.” And what of the possibility that there’s no pattern to things?

Deanna and Cally are only one of several sets of twins in The Antelope Wife, and the novel is uncommonly full of pairings: fate and free will, tragedy and comedy, love as salvation and love as struggle. Other recurring images also help knit things together: dogs, the power of names, windigos (trickster demons, craziness), the act of storytelling itself. And food—the book is full of stews and sweet rolls, deer sausage and Ambrosia, the bounty of a wedding feast, and Frank Shawano’s passionate quest to make the perfect blitzkuchen.

For a novel rooted in a child’s death and a father’s unquenchable remorse—and so interwoven with other kinds of suffering, past and present—The Antelope Wife is exceptionally funny. Erdrich calls humor “an Indian’s seventh sense.” The constant storytelling in the novel is spiked with sly jokes (even the dog, Almost Soup, gets to tell one about three Indian dogs waiting to get to tell one about three Indian dogs waiting to be put to sleep). And the account of Rozina’s and Frank’s wedding—for all the tragedy that attends it—is an extended exercise in slapstick. For the characters, this is a humor that springs from self-preservation; it’s also another instance of Erdrich’s mingling of opposites.

Since the appearance of her first novel, the inventive and widely popular Love Medicine (1984), Erdrich has been known for her lyrical prose. She has also published books of poems. Critics have accused her of occasional “overwriting,” but The Antelope Wife is composed with grace, and abounds with lovely passages. Here, for instance, is Rozina, up all night, visited by Deanna’s spirit:

The earth tips its farthest shoulder to the sun and the dark goes solid. Cold air seizes in bands along the mopboards. She sits there, waiting for Deanna to tell her what she came for, what she wants, what she can do. Incrementally, the dark mates thin to gray. The air stirs with the cold soupiness of dawn.

It’s up to Cally to speak the last words of the story. “Everything is all knotted up in a tangle,” she tells us. “Pull one string of this family and the whole web will tremble.” She’s still grappling with the problem of what the past means for the present, and how to cope with the great imponderables:

Did these occurrences have a paradigm in the settlement of old scores and pains and betrayals that went back in time? Or are we working out the minor details of a strictly random pattern? Who is beading us? . . . All these questions they tug at the brain. We stand on tiptoe, trying to see over the edge, and only catch a glimpse of the next bead on the string, and the woman’s hand moving, one day, the next, and the needle flashing over the horizon.