THE DEATH OF NATURE

A Retrospective

CAROLYN MERCHANT University of California

he shadows lengthened on the red, yellow, and brown pillars of the canyon walls. A panoply of moving colors bathed hundreds of eroded limestone spires, as the sun sank over the sculpted peaks. In front of me, my two young sons, ages 11 and 13, picked their way along a narrow trail across a maze of rock outcrops that dropped precipitously to the river below. We watched as a red-tailed hawk ascended the updrafts to an aerie on the high peaks. The view was breathtaking, the colors magnificent, the rocks vibrant and alive.

The summer was 1975, the place Bryce Canyon, Utah; and the three of us were exploring the canyon lands of the American West. After our evening meal in the park campground, the boys fell into an exhausted slumber. I lay awake pondering the irony of the living rocks. Science viewed them as dead and inert, yet for much of human history, those very rocks had been alive—growing and reproducing like plants and animals. It was then that the title of a book I had been crafting for several years emerged into clear relief: *The Death of Nature* (1980) was christened.

Thinking back on that summer of magic, I am awed by the concatenation of personal, intellectual, and social events that led to the formation of the book's thesis. The influences on my life and their intersections with history seem an odd coupling of chance occurrences, mundane events, and strange flashes of understanding. During the 1960s and 1970s, the women's movement sparked by Betty Friedan's *The Feminine Mystique* (1963), the environmental movement propelled by Rachel Carson's *Silent Spring* (1962), and the social upheavals of the civil rights and antiwar movements formed my nascent social consciousness.

I entered the environmental movement in the fall of 1959 in a baptism by fire. On my first date with my ex-husband, we went out and burned a Wisconsin prairie. The following spring, a multicolored carpet of exquisite native wildflowers adorned a hillside that had been nearly obliterated by encroaching aspens. Working together to save native prairies for the nature conservancy, debating the consequences of Rachel Carson's exposé of pesticides, and pondering the impact of world population on food supplies, I absorbed an environmental ethic early on in the emerging ecological movement.

I spent most of the 1960s as a graduate student in the University of Wisconsin's provocative program in history of science studying the origins of the modern scientific revolution and drafting a dissertation on Gottfried Wilhelm Leibniz's concept of living force. I had always been in love with science, especially physics, and was awed by the beauty of its mathematical derivations, simplicity of explana-

tion, and clarity of worldly description. My childhood and high school joy over biology turned to a fascination with chemistry in college, ultimately leading me to the pursuit of physics and then history of science in graduate school. Raising two sons sensitized me to the problems of housewife and career, and I devoured Friedan's Feminine Mystique (1963) when it appeared. I applied for and received one of the nation's first fellowships designed to support women with children who were attempting to finish graduate school, a task I ultimately accomplished.

By the late 1960s, the stage was set for the three themes that would subsequently comprise the subtitle of The Death of Nature: Women, Ecology, and the Scientific Revolution. Lacking, however, was the conceptual glue that would soon knit them together. The events of the 1970s in my new home in Berkeley, California, would provide that sinew. I began teaching physical science at the University of San Francisco (USF) amid the social turmoil over the bombing of Cambodia, the emergence of Earthday 1970, and the questioning of the role played by science in the new electronic battlefield directed at North Vietnam. With our Chinese American neighbors, my sons and I joined in San Francisco peace marches and worked to integrate the Berkeley schools.

As a young woman on fire with the conventional beauty of science, I was poised at a unique moment in which my personal experiences came into juxtaposition with the social implications of the scientific domination of nature. I began investigating the character of science in terms of its implications for women and nature.

Inspired by the widespread questioning of 1950s assumptions about science, society, and mainstream values, I started reevaluating the meaning of my earlier work in the history of science. The history of mechanics as a system of matter in motion, on which I had done my graduate work, took on new implications when set against a renaissance cosmology of animate spirits and ensouled beings in which everything was alive. What role did the history of the scientific revolution play in the way we, in the late-20th century world, were conducting our lives? What historical alternatives, both real and utopian, had challenged some of the excesses of mainstream society? Such questions stimulated my work as a teacher, and I turned to students, colleagues, and friends in seeking answers.

At USF, I introduced a new course on science and society and began teaching the social context of the rise of modern science in my history of science courses. Then in the summer of 1972, I traveled to Italy to participate in the Enrico Fermi Institute's course, History of 20th-Century Physics, in which issues of the social responsibility of science took center stage. From Boston philosopher of physics Robert Cohen, I learned that the scientific revolution had been explained by historian Boris Hessen (1931/1971) and sociologist Edgar Zilsel (1953) as phenomena arising out of early capitalist development and emerging middle-class crafts and trades. Cohen also introduced me to William Leiss's new book The Domination of Nature, which appeared in the fall of 1972. I began to understand some of the specific ways in which economic and social changes could influence the choices and underlying assumptions available to scientists as they pursued their theoretical work.

That fall, two additional events conspired to change my outlook and launch the writing of the book. While teaching my new science and society course, I heard high praise from science writer Daniel Greenberg for Theodore Roszak's Where the Wasteland Ends (1972). Not only was Roszak's book a startling critique of mechanistic science and an exploration of alternative time-told approaches, such as the Gnostic tradition and William Blake's art and poetry, but it heralded a new holistic ecological worldview. It was through Roszak's subsequent kindness that

the manuscript of The Death of Nature made its way to the desk of my editor John Shopp of HarperCollins in San Francisco.

On the very same day that I learned of Roszak's (1972) remarkable book, I also met fellow historian of science David Kubrin. Kubrin, who had done a graduate dissertation on Isaac Newton and published a highly regarded article on "Newton and the Cyclical Cosmos" (1967), attended a lecture given by our British colleague Peter Harman. Harman noticed a guy in the audience sewing patches on his clothing and from the nature of his postlecture question deduced that this must indeed be the well-known Kubrin. Early the next year, I began attending a small class on the rise of modern science given by Kubrin at an alternative school in San Francisco. Kubrin introduced me and others to the pamphlet "Witches, Midwives, and Nurses" by Barbara Ehrenreich and Deirdre English (n.d.) as well as to ideas that took seriously the work of the alternative magical tradition in science history. It was Kubrin's idea that the key concept of early modern science was that matter was dead. In a 1972 article, titled "How Sir Isaac Newton Helped Restore Law 'n' Order to the West" and a later article called "Newton's Inside Out!" (1981) Kubrin explored the role that Newton played in suppressing magical and alchemical ideas in society and in his own mind and promoting the mechanical view of nature. My work on Leibniz and Kubrin's work on Newton provided grist for an emerging analysis of the rise of modern science in which a world of living and vital forces gave way to a dead mechanical system that supported the new capitalist tendencies of early modern society.

What ultimately emerged as The Death of Nature began as a series of three essays I started writing in the summer of 1973. The first was on women and witches in the 16th and 17th centuries, the second interpreted the change from magic to mechanism, and the third rethought the meaning of science and utopias from Campanella and Andrae to Francis Bacon. I began giving papers on "women and nature" and on "natural philosophy and the environmental crisis" to local, national, and international meetings of the History of Science Society and the American Historical Association.

Under the threat of recessionary layoffs at USF in 1976, I applied for and received four fellowships and grants, enabling me to rework and expand the initial essays into a book-length manuscript that covered the period of the entire scientific revolution. Despite the economic hardships of the layoffs and part-time employment, the times were intellectually heady. With great excitement, I read and absorbed hundreds of articles and books on the period. Everything seemed to fit together and to make sense of a period I had begun to know intimately and love deeply. As the book neared completion, I accepted employment at the University of California, Berkeley, in an environmental studies program in the College of Natural Resources. After revisions and final editing The Death of Nature was launched in June of 1980.

The book's debut was surprising. A friend invited me to take a tour of Berkeley's Telegraph Avenue, where it was displayed in several bookstore windows. Another friend who gave me a book party reported the incredulity of a local bakery: "You want 'death' on a cake?" I gave talks that week on the UC campus to the Women's Studies program and at Cody's bookstore to large numbers of people. The California Monthly featured an early review. I was soon asked to give an endowed lecture at Harvey Mudd College, the first of many such invitations over the years. For an academic book, which my editor said was ahead of its time, this response was gratifying. There were three obvious audiences for its themes: feminists, environmentalists, and historians of science and technology. Yet, the book also garnered

interest from political scientists, sociologists, philosophers, geographers, English teachers, and scientists. More than 100 reviews of the book have appeared during its lifetime. Among those who appraised, reviewed, or discussed the book were Christopher Hill, Everett Mendelsohn, Houston Baker Jr., Fritjof Capra, Walter Pagel, Evelyn Fox Keller, Donna Haraway, Helen Longino, Susan Griffin, Stephen Brush, Joan Rothschild, Margaret Jacob, Bruno Latour, Nina Gelbart, Tore Frängsmyr, Ronnie Ambjörnsson, Shigeru Nakayama, John Perkins, Audrey Davis, Margaret Osler, Rita Arditti, Joseph Meeker, Harold Gilliam, Murray Bookchin, Jim Swan, Kirkpatrick Sale, David Ray Griffin, and Jerry Mander (see the appendix).

The early reviews focused on the connections between science and the domination of nature and on the relationships between women and nature. Reviewers emphasized the argument that the mechanistic worldview lay open a new and brutal exploitation of the environment, of animals, and of a living, vital nature. The shift was part of a rejection of the feminine as a constitutive part of reality and a concomitant oppression of women. The machine metaphor redefined reality as controlling heretofore unruly events. There was praise for the integration of topics as diverse as ecology, natural magic, utopias, witch trials, midwives, women scientists, and for the recasting of the work of such founders of modern science as Francis Bacon, René Descartes, William Harvey, Thomas Hobbes, Isaac Newton, and Gottfried Wilhelm Leibniz. Some commentators admired the book's lively style and correlated illustrations, whereas others found it dry and academic in tone.

More controversial was the issue of how historical events were related to each other and especially to ideas. One reviewer noted that the ideas explored in the book sometimes reflected social values and sometimes seemed to trigger changes. Yet, this was a proverbial problem for historians, she stated, and the strength of the argument was that it avoided simple causations and hasty conclusions. Plausibility, associated values, compatibility, and the simultaneity of events made for a rich and complex argument. Others agreed that this approach resisted an easy determinism in favor of subtlety. Still others were concerned that the book's crusading tone and feminist orientation might create opposition. Yet another objection lay in the problem of the precise relationship between female metaphors that described nature and the social subjection of women.

The book soon found an audience beyond academia. It made its way into congressional circles at a House of Representatives hearing on energy research and production in 1980; was addressed by Ronald Reagan's science advisor, George A. Keyworth II in 1982; and was picked up by Newsweek in a 1983 discussion of the mainstreaming of feminist scholarship. The book made its movie debut in the British production of "Crucible: A History of Nature" by Central Television in January 1983, the first third of which was based on The Death of Nature.

Soon, foreign journals and newspapers began to take notice of the book and its thesis, Early in 1981, Tore Frängsmyr introduced the topic to the Swedish audience, and the publicity he gave it was followed by reviews in the Gothenburg Post and Stockholm's Dagens Nyheter, later that year. The book was reviewed in France in 1981, Japan in 1982, Poland in 1983, and in Denmark and Germany in 1984. India gave it a central place in its 1984-1985 report on The State of India's Environment (The Second Citizen's Report, 1985), and in 1986, the book received attention in Australia. With its translation into Japanese (1985), German (1987, with a mass market edition in 1994), Italian (1988), Swedish (1994), and Chinese (Green Classics Library, in press), additional foreign language reviews followed. In 1990, a 10th-anniversary second edition appeared in English.

In assessing the impact of the book's 18-year life span, three contributions seem to stand out. The book was an early critique of the problems of modernism and especially mechanistic science and its associated worldview that lent grist to the postmodern deconstruction of Enlightenment optimism and progress. Second, as ecofeminism gained attention in the 1980s and 1990s, the book came to be viewed as an early classic statement of the women-nature relationship. Third, the book pointed the way toward a reassessment of the human ethical relationship to nature by moving away from ideas of domination and toward a new dynamic partnership between people and their environment. Over the years, at numerous gatherings and lectures on several continents, people have told me that The Death of Nature has affected their lives, even changed their whole way of thinking. For this, I am very grateful.

Since 1980, my own work has moved beyond The Death of Nature's assessment of the scientific revolution and toward a reassessment of the book's implications for American history, the current environmental movement, and a new environmental ethic. In Ecological Revolutions: Nature, Gender, and Science in New England (1989), I asked how the issues discussed in 17th-century Europe were played out in America. I attempted to develop a more precise approach to the interactions between ideas, the material world, and social and economic change by articulating a model of revolutionary transformations based on ecology, production, reproduction, and consciousness. These American ecological revolutions bore similarities to the change from an organic to a mechanical worldview brought about by early capitalist development discussed in The Death of Nature. In Radical Ecology (1992), I expanded the idea of ecological revolutions to an analysis of the environmental movement of the past 30 years, and in Earthcare: Women and the Environment (1996), I attempted to develop more precise relationships between women's involvement in environmental movements and symbols of nature as female. Currently, I am working out the details of a partnership ethic between people and the nonhuman environment that removes some of the stigmas associated historically with nature as female and men as agents of domination, and which draws on some of the newer developments in the sciences such as chaos and complexity theory. I have also come full circle back to the issues of the European transformation in a work-in-progress called "Reinventing Eden: Women, Nature, and Narrative."

In thinking back to the poignancy of that summer of 1975 in Bryce Canyon and to my many subsequent encounters with the birds and mountains of the world, accompanied by loved family members and friends, I am brought increasingly to appreciate the power of life on the planet and the need for an ethic of earthcare. If The Death of Nature contributes even in some small way to a new environmental consciousness, its legacy will live on.

APPENDIX

Reviews and Discussions of Carolyn Merchant's The Death of Nature: Women, Ecology, and the Scientific Revolution (San Francisco: HarperCollins, 1980, 2nd ed., 1990).

1980 Peter Heinegg, joint review including a review of The Death of Nature, Parabola: The Quarterly of Myth and Meaning, V(3), pp. 120, 122.

1980 Review of The Death of Nature, America, Sept. 20, 1980.

1980 Review of The Death of Nature, Booklist, Nov. 1980, p. 379.

- 1980 Shirley Roe, Review of The Death of Nature, California Monthly, 91(1), October 1980, p. 21.
- 1980 Stephen Brush, "Statement to the Subcommittee on Energy Research & Production, Committee on Science and Technology, U.S. House of Representatives, at the hearing on 'Quests with U.S. Accelerators . . . 50 years,' "July 23, 1980, p. 11.
- 1981 B. L. [Bruno Latour], Review of The Death of Nature, Pandore, 14, June 1981, p. 5.
- 1981 Erik Hj Linder, "Nar Naturen do och bler en maskin," Goteborgs Posten, June 8.
- 1981 Evelyn Fox Keller, Review of The Death of Nature, Ms., February 1981, p. 37.
- 1981 Helen Longino, Review of The Death of Nature, Environmental Ethics, 3(4), inter 1981, pp. 365-369.
- 1981 "Interview with Starhawk," Vortex: A Journal of New Vision, 1(2), pp. 11, 5.
- 1981 Jennifer Brundage, Review of The Death of Nature, Newsletter of the Westcoast Association of Marxist Historians, 10, July 1981, pp. 2-3.
- 1981 Joan Rothschild, Joint review including The Death of Nature, Women's Studies International Quarterly, 4(3), 1981, pp. 382-385.
- 1981 Margaret Jacob, Review of The Death of Nature, Commonweal, Sept. 25, 1981, pp. 540-542.
- 1981 Margartet J. Osler, Review of The Death of Nature, Isis, 72(262), June 1981, pp. 287-288.
- 1981 Nina Gelbart, Review of The Death of Nature, Ambix, 28(March 1981) pp. 7-8.
- 1981 Ronny Ambjarnsson, "Metallerna vaxer som i en livmoder," Dagens Nyheter (Stockholm), December 14, 1981, p. 4.
- 1981 Thomas Glick, Review of The Death of Nature, Journal of the History of Biology, 14(2), pp. 356-357.
- 1981 Walter Pagel, Review of The Death of Nature, History of Science, 19(44), part 2, June 1981, pp. 148-153.
- 1982 David Murray, Review of The Death of Nature, City Limits, Oct. 29, 1982.
- 1982 Fritjof Capra, The Turning Point: Science, Society, and the Rising, Culture, (New York: Simon & Schuster, 1982), p. 41.
- 1982 Lena Eskilsson, Kvinnovetenskeplig Tidskrift, Feb. 1982.
- 1982 Lynda Birke, Review of The Death of Nature, New Scientist, 25, November 1982.
- 1982 Shelly Errington, Review of The Death of Nature, Signs, 7(3), Spring 1982, pp. 701-704.
- 1982 Shigeru Nakayama, Review of *The Death of Nature*, (Japanese journal), 2, p. 26.
- 1982 Sue Mansfield, The Gestalts of War, (New York, Dial Press, 1982) p. 226.
- 1982 Sylvia Bowerbank, Review of The Death of Nature, Canadian Society for Eighteenth-Century Studies Newsletter, September 1982, pp. 4-7.
- 1982 Wil Lepkowski, "Keyworth Reshaping U.S. Science Policy," Chemical and Engineering News, Jan. 4, 1982, p. 12.
- 1983 Audrey B. Davis, Review of The Death of Nature, Journal of the History of the Behavioral Sciences, 19(4), October 1983, pp. 418-419.
- 1983 Dennis Williams et al., "Out of the Academic Ghetto," Newsweek, October 31, 1983, p. 86.
- 1983 Gunnar Broberg, "Naturen på bild-några reflexioner utifrån 1700-talsmaterial," in Jens Allwood, Tore Frängsmyr, and Uno Svedin, eds., Naturen Som Symbol (Stockholm, 1983), pp. 69, 78.
- 1983 Henryk Hollender, Review of The Death of Nature, Kwartalnik Historii Nauki I Techniki (Quarterly Journal of the History of Science and Technology published by the Polish Academy of Science), XXVIII(2), pp. 478-484.
- 1983 Jeremy Naydler, Review of The Death of Nature, The Ecologist, 13(2/3).
- 1983 John Perkins, Review of The Death of Nature, Environmental Review, 7(3), pp. 293-297.
- 1983 Rita Arditti and Shelly Minden, "Comment on Haraway's 'In the Beginning was the Word,' and Errington's Review of The Death of Nature," Signs, 9(2), Winter 1983, pp. 330-335.
- 1983 Rita Arditti, Review of The Death of Nature, Science for the People, 15(1), pp. 45-5.

- 1983 Tore Frängsmyr, "Kvinnan och naturens död," in Jens Allwood, Tore Frängsmyr, and Uno Svedin (eds), *Naturen Som Symbol* (Stockholm, 1983), pp. 82-95.
- 1983 Tracy Kietzmann, "Man's View of Nature Changes With Time," *The California Aggie*, University of California, Davis, November 14, p. 1.
- 1983 "Women, Gender, and Philosophy," a special issue of *Radical Philosophy*, 34, Summer 1983, p. 9.
- 1984 Christa Wichterich, Review of The Death of Nature, Beitrage: Zur Feministischen Theorie und Praxis, 12, pp. 11-17.
- 1984 Erika Hickel, Review of The Death of Nature, Wechselwirkung, November 1984, pp. 34-37.
- 1984 Eva Ekselius, "Den osynliga helheten," Dagens Nyheter, April 7, 1984, p. 4.
- 1984 Harold Gilliam, "Restoring What Was Lost," San Francisco Examiner: This World, Feb. 19, 1984.
- 1984 Kis Bonde, "Det nysgerrige menneske," Naturkampen (Denmark), 31, pp. 19-21.
- 1984 Leif Ohlsson, "När människan blev direktör," Dagens Nyheter (Stockholm), May 23, 1984.
- 1984 Pierre Thuillier, "La Cause des Femmes et l'écologie," *La Recherche*, 151, Jan. 1984, pp. 80-83.
- 1985 Anne Fausto-Sterling, "The New Research on Women: How Does it Affect the Natural Sciences?" Women's Studies Quarterly, XIII(2, Summer), 1985, p. 32.
- 1985 Jim Swan, "Is the Earth Alive?" Minding the Earth Quarterly, 6(2), June 1985, p. 2.
- 1985 Murray Bookchin, "Toward a Philosophy of Nature—The Bases for an Ecological Ethics," in Michael Tobias, ed., *Deep Ecology* (San Diego: Avant), p. 213.
- 1985 Sylvana Tomaselli, "The Enlightenment Debate on Women," *History Workshop Journal*, 20(10), pp. 101-124.
- 1985 The Second Citizens' Report, *The State of India's Environment 1984-85* (New Delhi: Centre for Science and Environment), p. 370.
- 1985 Birgit Brock-Utne, Educating for Peace (New York: Pergamon Press), pp. 112-3.
- 1985 Review of the Japanese translation of *The Death of Nature*, Seikyo Shinbun. December
- 1986 Peter Weiler, "What is Being Done?" Radical Historians Newsletter (MARHO), 50, November 1986.
- 1986 Val Plumwood, "Ecofeminism: An Overview and Discussion of Positions and Arguments," in "Women and Philosophy," a supplement to Vol. 64 of the *Australian Journal of Philosophy*, pp. 120-138.
- 1986 Review of the Japanese translation of The Death of Nature, Asahi Shinbun, Feb. 24.
- 1986 Review of the Japanese translation of The Death of Nature, Quark, 4, p. 39.
- 1986 Review of the Japanese translation of The Death of Nature, Anima, p. 99.
- 1986 Review of the Japanese translation of The Death of Nature, Sunday Mainichi, p. 148.
- 1987 Kirkpatrick Sale, "Ecofeminism—A New Perspective," *The Nation*, Sept. 26, 1987, pp. 302-305.
- 1987 Michael Astroh, "Ein Pyrrhussieg. Carolyn Merchant: "Der Tod der Natur" F.A.Z. August 10.
- 1987 Judith Rauch, "Die Nature Hat Kein Geschlecht," Review of the German translation of *The Death of Nature*, *Nature*, *Nature*, *July*.
- 1987 Karl-Ludwig Schibel, "Die Natur im Bild der Frau" Review of the German translation of *The Death of Nature*, *Psychologie Heute*, November.
- 1987 Christine Broll, "Organismus Erde," Review of the German translation of *The Death of Nature*, DAS, 11, October.
- 1987 Peter Cornelius Mayer-Tasch, "Im Zeichen des Scheiterhaufens," Joint review of German translation of *The Death of Nature*, Süddeutsche Zeitung, 278, p. 9.
- 1987 Hadassa Moscovici, "Die Ressource namens Frau," Review of German translation of *The Death of Nature, Die Zeit*, December 4.
- 1987 Klaus Bock, Review of German translation of *The Death of Nature*, ekz-Informationsdienst, ID 22-23.

- 1987 Review of German translation of The Death of Nature, Bfcher Pict, Extra, Natur und Freizeit.
- 1987 Wolfgang Schultz, Review of German translation of The Death of Nature, Buchbesprechungfür Forstliche Umschau, Verlag Paul Parey.
- 1987 Anita Eichholz, Television review of German translation of *The Death of Nature*. Bayerisches Fernsehen, Kultur und Naturwissenschaften, Redaktion: Kunst und Literatur, August 12, 10:20 p.m., 11 minutes.
- 1987 Peter C. Mayer-Tasch, Radio review of German translation of The Death of Nature, "Das Politische Buch" SWF 2, 5:00 p.m., August 16.
- 1987 "Mutter Erde," Review of German translation of The Death of Nature, St. Galler Tagblatt, June 16.
- 1987 Dr. Wilhelm Hack, Anzengruberstr. 5, 8038 Grabenzell, Review of German translation of The Death of Nature,
- 1987 Georg-Lauer Julto, Rezzensent. Radio review of German translation of The Death of Nature, Hessischer Rundfunk, June 1, 8:20-8:30 p.m.
- 1987 Prof. Dr. Ingrid B.-Lisop, Frankfurt/Main. Review of German translation of The Death of Nature, Universitas, December.
- 1987 W. Schröeder/W. Schulz. Review of German translation of The Death of Nature Besprechungsbeleg, Hamburg and Berlin, 30(3).
- 1987 PB. Review of German translation of The Death of Nature, Treffpunkt Bibliotek, April.
- 1988 Dorothy Nolte. "Die Nature im Gerichtssaal: Ein Buch über die Entwicklung der modernen Naturwissenschaft," Review of German translation of The Death of Nature. Der Tagesspiegel, February 2.
- 1988 Marco Santambrogio. "Una solidariet à naturale," Review of Italian Translation of The Death of Nature, L'Unità'88, May 9.
- 1988 Alberto Oliverio. "Le Passioni di Madre Natura," Review of Italian Translation of The Death of Nature, Rinascita, 19, May 28, p. 17.
- 1988 Lidia Campagnano, "La natura maschile: I rapporti tra donne e scienza," Joint review of Review of Italian Translation of The Death of Nature, Manifesto, June 9.
- 1988 Paolo Campostrini, "Storia: Una lettura delle rivoluzioni scientifiche per dirci di quanta violenza su donne e natura è fatto il progresso," Review of Italian Translation of The Death of Nature, Alto Adige, June 25.
- 1988 Gilberto Lonardi, "Una brutta fine," Review of Italian Translation of The Death of Nature, Corriere Del Ticino, Switzerland, June 25,
- 1988 Gilbert Lonardi, "La rivoluzione scientifica, il simbolico, Natura e donna agiscono da alleate," Review of Italian Translation of The Death of Nature, L'Arena June 29.
- 1988 Alfredo Ancora, "I filosofi e il cervello," Review of Italian Translation of The Death of Nature, Paese Sera, July 28.
- 1988 Silvia Vegetti Finzi, "Nostra sorella Terra, violentata dai maschi: La liberazione della donna non può prescindere dalla liberazione della natura," Review of Italian Translation of The Death of Nature, Corriére Della Sera (date illegible), p. 20.
- 1988 Review of Italian Translation of The Death of Nature, L'Europeo, August 26, p. 15.
- 1988 Daniela Minerva, "Signora Storia," Review of Italian Translation of The Death of Nature, L'Espresso, October 9, 1988, p. 202.
- 1988 Henry Kripps, "After Nature," Arena, 84(Spring), pp. 49-63.
- 1989 Anon. "Die Mechanisierung Der Welt," Orientierung, frich, Nr. 6(May), pp. 2-5.
- 1989 Pierre Lantz, Review of The Death of Nature, L'Harnsthieu, 1-2, pp. 187-188.
- 1990 Mascha Riepl-Schmidt, review of Der Tod der Natur, Radius, March.
- 1990 Sue Curry Jansen, "Is Science a man? New feminist epistemologies and reconstructions of knowledge," Review Essay of several books including The Death of Nature, Theory and Society, 19 (1990), pp. 235-246, see pp. 238-239.
- 1991 Jerry Mander, In the Absence of the Sacred: The Failure of Technology and the Survival of the Indian Nations. San Francisco: Sierra Club Books, pp. 211-212, 381.
- 1991 Sverker Sarlin, Nature Kontraktet: Om natureumgängets idéhistoria. Helsingborg: Carlsson Bokförlag, pp. 73-75.

- 1994 Kerstin Stjarne, Härlig är jorden en örtagärdsberättelse. Stockholm: Albert Bonniers Förlag, pp. 189-193, 286.
- 1995 Virginia Richter, Review of Der Tod der Natur in Zensur Rundbrief May.
- 1995 Abstract Der Tod der Natur in Buch 2000 Mar 2.
- 1995 Abstract of Der Tod der Natur in Kaldsburger Korrespondenz, 226, November.
- 1995 Abstract of Der Tod der Natur in Naturwissenschaftliche Ruckschaft, 6.
- 1995 Review of Der Tod der Natur in Politische Oecology (January-February).
- 1995 Ulrike Baureithel, "Hat die Waschmaschine ein Geschlecht?" Joint discussion of Der Tod der Natur newspaper article, publication source unknown.
- 1995 Ronny Ambjarnsson, "Naturen som kvinna och hennes död," *Västerbottens-Kuriren*, 14 Sept, pp. 1, 5.

REFERENCES

- Carson, R. (1962). Silent spring. Boston: Houghton Mifflin.
- Ehrenreich, B., & English, D. (n.d.). Witches, midwives, and nurses. Old Westbury, NY: Feminist Press.
- Friedan, B. (1963). The Feminine Mystique. New York: Dell.
- Hessen, B. (1971). The social and economic roots of Newton's "Principia," with a new introduction by R. S. Cohen. New York: Howard Fertig. (Originally published 1931)
- Kubrin, D. (1967). Newton and the cyclical cosmos: Providence and the mechanical philosophy. *Journal of the History of Ideas*, 28(July-September), 325-346.
- Kubrin, D. (1972). How Sir Isaac Newton helped restore law 'n' order to the West. *Liberation*, 16(10), 32-41.
- Kubrin, D. (1981). Newton's inside out! Magic, class struggle, and the rise of mechanisms in the West. In H. Woolf (Ed.), *The analytic spirit: Essays on the history of science in honor of Henry Guerlac*. Ithaca, NY: Cornell University Press.
- Leiss, W. (1972). The domination of nature. New York: Braziller.
- Merchant, C. (1980). The death of nature: Women, ecology, and the scientific revolution. San Francisco: HarperCollins.
- Merchant, C. (1989). Ecological revolutions: Nature, gender, and science in New England. Chapel Hill: University of North Carolina Press.
- Merchant, C. (1992). Radical ecology: The search for a livable world. New York: Routledge. Merchant, C. (1996). Earthcare: Women and the environment. New York: Routledge.
- Roszak, T. (1972). Where the wasteland ends: Politics and transcendence in post-industrial society. Garden City, NY: Doubleday.
- The Second Citizen's Report. (1985). *The state of India's environment, 1984-85*. New Delhi: Centre for Science and Environment.
- Zilsel, E. (1953). The genesis of the concept of scientific progress. In P. P. Wiener & A. Noland (Eds.), Roots of scientific thought. New York: Basic Books.

First published in 1980, The Death of Nature represents the conjunction of the women's rights movement (which Merchant dates from the publication of The Feminine Mystique in 1963) and the ecology movement, nationally recognized in the U.S. by Earth Day 1970. Merchant focuses primarily on Europe from 1500 to 1700, tracing the shift from the view of nature as organism that lasted into the Middle Ages to the view of nature as machine that came out of the Scientific Revolution. Part of her argument First published in 1980, The Death of Nature represents the conjunction of the women's rig